CLOSEOUT FOR M93030018	
OIG received a letter from the complainant,	March 16, 1993.
Is the Director of	His proposal had been
declined. He requested and received reconsideration of his proposal b	y the Assistant
	d then, on further
appeal, by the Deputy Director of the Foundation thereby exhausting t process (NSF Manual 10, section 142).	he NSF reconsideration
The complainant alleged that the declination and reconsideration de that the program staff and Assistant Director were professionally unquiproposal, that the NSF Affirmative Action Officer was irresponsible, a attempting to steal the work in his proposal. OIG reviewed the propose that the proposal had been declined on the basis of external reviews the negative. The reviews strongly questioned the merit of the proposal at the PI. Different NSF officials reviewed the proposal jacket in each reand independently recommended that the declination be upheld.	alified to evaluate his and that someone was sal jacket and found at were extremely at the qualifications of

OIG's review of this jacket did not uncover any evidence to support the complainant's allegations. The proposal's processing and review and both reconsideration processes complied with NSF's Manual 10, The Proposal and Award Manual (PAM). OIG found that the complainant had communicated numerous times, by letter and telephone, with the program staff and NSF officials. Many of these communications contained inappropriate remarks that were personally and professionally disparaging toward NSF personnel. OIG found that the NSF correspondence with the PI was proper and professional throughout.

OIG could find no substance to the complainant's allegations. This case is closed without a finding of misconduct.

Aluga Aluga

March 31, 1993

Concurrence:

Donald E. Buzzelli

Deputy Assistant Inspector General for

Oversight

James J. Zwolenik

Assistant Inspector General for Oversight

Philip L. Sunshine

Deputy Inspector General

Assistant Inspector General for Oversight

Inspector General

93-18